

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY, under RTI Act,
HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH, BENCH AT INDORE**

RTI Appeal No. 04/2023

**Shri Munnalal Sunahre S/o Shri Halka Ji -----Appellant
R/o 178, Annapurna Nagar, Nanakheda, Ujjain
District-Ujjain-456010**

VS.

**Shri Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Joint Registrar (M)/
State Public Information Officer, High Court of M.P.,
Bench at Indore ----- Respondent**

Order

(Delivered on 02nd January 2024)

This appeal has been preferred u/s. 19 (1) of the RTI Act., 2005 by the appellant Shri Munnalal Sunahre being aggrieved by the facts that no information, as sought by him through his RTI application dated 09.10.2023 has been supplied to him, which should have been provided by Shri Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Joint Registrar (M)/ State Public Information Officer, High Court of M.P., Bench at Indore.

The brief facts which led to filing this appeal is as under:-

Appellant Shri Munnalal Sunahre has sent an RTI application dated 09.10.2023 through Inward Section, High Court of M.P., Bench at Indore addressed to SPIO (**Joint Registrar (M)/ State Public Information Officer, High Court of M.P., Bench at Indore**) requesting therein for providing following information :-

*Shri Munnalal Sunahre
21/01/24*

“पंजीयन दिनांक 07.07.2021 केस का विवरण Criminal Revision (CRR) 1550/21 के द्वारा धाराओं पर अपील की गयी है। लेकिन कोर्ट तारीख 23.11.2022 के बाद प्रकरण पर सुनवाई नहीं हो रही है। कृपया जानकारी प्रदान करने की कृपा करें।”

Appeal is not preferred on any merit. The reason for preferring the appeal has been submitted that after filing RTI application no answer has been received to him from SPIO.

Contrary to this, submitting reply to appeal, SPIO has submitted that information sought by applicant/appellant has already been provided to appellant. In this regard SPIO has also submitted that information was prepared in three pages and non-judicial stamp was also called for from appellant and appellant sent the non-judicial stamp of Rs. 10/- and thereafter, information sought by appellant was sent to appellant which was received to him on 20.11.2023 as it revealed from track consignment report of India Post. Copy of above consignment report has also been attached to with the reply to appeal.

During the argument appellant admitted that the above information of three pages was received to him. As per the information sent to appellant it has been made clear that CRR No. 1550/2021 was listed before Hon'ble Court on 14.09.2022 and Hon'ble Court has directed to list the matter after two weeks. As per CMIS report the case was updated on 14.09.2022 at 23:11:58 and on 22.11.2022 at 14:11:46 but same was under the proposal pool on 30.09.2022 and 23.11.2022 respectively. It seems that the case was properly updated for 23.11.2022 but not listed. By sending the above information to appellant relevant CMIS report was also enclosed therewith.

After going through the information received to him, appellant is not convinced that how the information will satisfy his answer. It seems that case

अनुमति
21/11/24

listing status given to appellant is a technical procedure. Appellant was made understand that his case is ready to list as and will listed as per its turn. Appellant was also suggested that there is a provision of submitting mention memo and if appellant seems that there is any urgency then he can move a mention memo as per Rule 7 (1) Chapter- 12 of the High Court of M.P. Rules, 2008 through his counsel. Appellant, instead of taking recourse of above provision he filed this RTI first appeal before the Undersigned. Therefore, accepting the RTI application, SPIO has rightly given all the possible information sought by appellant. Appellant has falsely stated that no answer has been received to him.

Consequently, this appeal is dismissed. Copy of this order be sent to Principal Registrar, High Court of M.P., Bench at Indore for necessary action and information. A copy of this order be also provided free of cost to the appellant/applicant and also to the SPIO for information and necessary action.

As per Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005, appellant/applicant may file an appeal to the Hon'ble Appellate Authority (State Information Commission, Bhopal) within 90 days of the issuance of this order.

Ajay Mishra
2/01/24

(AJAY PRAKASH MISHR)
APPELLATE AUTHORITY

